

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

Dear Committee,

I am responding to your Call for evidence as an individual and as a Tour Operator business in the Travel and Tourism sector. I initially responded to the government’s call for evidence which ended 29th March 2021 but that response was not acknowledged and as far as I am aware it was not received or published. I am therefore offering this unique response and hope you will give the following comments due consideration in your review.

I hereby object in the strongest possible terms to the introduction of personal vaccine certification or any kind of vaccination passport on the grounds that it would be morally wrong, unethical and I believe it would breach the terms of International, Criminal, Civil, Tort, Statutory and Common Law. There is no need for a vaccine certification scheme and no public demand for it. On the contrary, there is considerable objection to such a scheme as I will show.

To support my objection, firstly I refer to a document titled “Covid-19 Response” produced in March 2021 by the Health Advisory & Recovery Team (HART) and in particular the article on page 9 authored by Dr Malcolm Kendrick titled “[Vaccine Certification – An Ethical Minefield](#)”^[1] Therein, Dr Kendrick sets out valid reasons against vaccine certification.

Secondly, two UK petitions show considerable public objection to such a scheme, one titled “Prevent any restrictions on those who refuse a Covid-19 vaccination”^[2] (with 337,141 signatures) and a second petition titled “Do not rollout Covid-19 vaccine passports”^[3] (with 347,765 signatures at 3/5/2021). Further objection is evident with online polls such as a recent Twitter poll by James Melville which shows 83.5% of people against such a scheme;




Thirdly, I refer to an article written by Professor Michel Chossudovsky titled “[The WHO Confirms that the Covid-19 PCR Test is Flawed](#)”^[4]. Therein he explains there is NO Scientific justification for Lockdown and No Scientific justification for a vaccine. It can therefore be argued there is NO justification for a Vaccine Certification scheme. This argument is supported with very strong evidence showing that PCR tests have been misused and the malicious nature of this misuse is explained in detail by Attorney Michael P Sanger & Co

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

in their article titled “[China’s Global Lockdown Propaganda Campaign](#)” [14]. The PCR test is not fit for purpose and is not a reliable method for testing. A recent [Scientific Study from Sweden](#) shows that a PCR test simply cannot identify live virus and I quote ...“*The PCR technology used in tests to detect viruses cannot distinguish between viruses capable of infecting cells and viruses that have been neutralized by the immune system and therefore these tests cannot be used to determine whether someone is contagious or not.*” [15] It is therefore unreasonable to suggest vaccine certification for unvaccinated people on the basis of a PCR test.

With regards lockdowns, the government’s decision to Lockdown was unprecedented and clearly it was not based on overwhelming evidence. However, there is now considerable evidence showing there was no justification for Lockdowns. Starting with the statistical evidence the following graph infers Covid-19 fatal infection trajectories from daily mortality data were already in decline before UK lockdowns were initiated.



Graph & data sourced from

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/biom.13462>

The restrictions imposed on society go against all the evidence and lockdown had little or no effect on the spread of Covid-19 or mortality rates (e.g. Sweden v UK, North Dakota v South Dakota US, Florida v other states in US etc.). The efficacy of Lockdowns has also been debunked by TOP scientists; Prof S.Gupta, Dr S.Atlas, Dr M.Kulldorf, Dr J.Bhattacharya at a [Roundtable meeting with the Governor of Florida 19th Mar 2021](#). [5]

Furthermore, I believe that any business introducing and operating such a scheme would inevitably discriminate against their fellow human beings, thereby denying service and access to services &/or products. This discrimination will completely divide society with all kinds of legal pitfalls and generate unnecessary hatred. If considered in context with Boris Johnson’s behaviour as Prime Minister since taking office Q4 2019, the unlawful actions of Cabinet Ministers [6,7&8] and all subsequent failures of government since March 2020 (see further comments below), including failure to properly address open letters & communications expressing critically serious concerns over the government’s Covid-19 response and in particular items #9,10,11,12,13&14 listed in footnotes. The government appear to have failed in their duty to address

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

and properly respond to these critically serious concerns and failed to show overwhelming evidence to support their decisions & actions. At best, I consider this to be gross incompetence, gross misconduct and a clear breach of the public's trust. However this may also be considered tantamount to the most serious criminal behaviour of any government in the history of the UK ^[14 & 16].

Some key considerations associated with a potential COVID-status certification scheme may be as follows;

a) Clinical / Medical considerations;

Personal medical history is PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL and should not be disclosed to any third party (person, organisation or government institution) with exception of a designated health care centre, unless of course informed consent is willingly provided or absolutely necessary for the preservation of an individual's life. All human beings have sovereign and inalienable rights to life, freedom, bodily autonomy, movement, speech, association and religious belief. In particular we have sole responsibility for our own health and wellbeing (with some exceptions such as a person deemed incapable due to severe disability etc.).

The right to make informed decisions affecting our own health must be protected to the end of humanity. For if one is not sovereign, some other person has the moral authority to be a master, and equality does not exist. Individual sovereignty is moral equality taken to its logical conclusion. This right should not be interfered with by any kind of coercion, and especially not for a disease, such as Covid-19, which has a survival rate better than 99.9% for most people, in a country where the vulnerable have already been vaccinated and allegedly given protection against the disease albeit this has not yet been proven.

Consideration must also be given to the fact that a significant section of society have strong natural or herd immunity to Covid-19 and vaccination is simply not necessary for this group. Testing of healthy people is also unnecessary and therefore this scheme would simply cause significant inconvenience for all concerned and unnecessary cost etc.

b) Legal considerations;

As above, all individuals have a God given sovereign inalienable right to maintain their own health and well-being. The implementation of any vaccine certification will have the effect of being highly coercive thereby effectively forcing people to act against their informed and better judgement. The decision to accept any vaccination must be taken with the minimum of persuasion and only to reduce personal risk of contracting a specific disease which may be prevalent in the area of residence or where an individual may visit.

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

The UK has a strong record of public health measures by consent and vaccination has never been mandatory. However, introduction of a vaccination certification scheme would have the effect of being highly coercive and individuals may feel obliged to comply to simply exist or to partake in normal community activities. Vaccine certification would therefore be equivalent to mandatory vaccination.

As explained in Dr Kendrick's article ^[1], mandatory vaccination may contravene Article 6 of UNESCO's Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights (2005), of which the UK is a signatory.

The proposed scheme would inevitably cause discrimination and significant disruption and this should be considered in context with The Human Rights Act 1998. For example, Article 14 requires that all of the rights and freedoms set out in the Act must be protected and applied without discrimination which may occur when you are treated less favourably than another person in a similar situation and this treatment cannot be objectively and reasonably justified. Discrimination on the basis of certification would certainly NOT be reasonably justified since the government has failed to justify their own actions (either prima or ultima facie) which has resulted in a situation shrouded in controversy with considerable evidence showing that Government may have acted with criminal/malicious intent and I refer you to examples of this suspected heinous conduct in the footnotes ^[14&16].

Any requirement to produce vaccine certification will certainly lead to an act of discrimination and I guess this may also contravene the Equality Act 2010.

c) Operational / delivery considerations

Collection of data will be impractical in many instances. For example, many people simply do not yet possess a mobile phone or electronic device (especially the elderly and young children etc).

Any proposed vaccine certificate would therefore need to be made available in different formats with appropriate validation which may be subject to fraud, corruption and counterfeiting by the issuer or recipient of that certification etc.

Accessing, checking & collection of personal data by any third party may lead to data corruption via hacking activity and/or improper use of data held on portable devices. Security of electronic devices cannot be guaranteed.

Any data acquired would need to be stored in full compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (GDPR) or relevant legislation. This task would be an administrative burden especially if proof is required to show that all reasonable effort had been taken to acquire, assess and collect data which is essentially of no interest to any legitimate business. The task would therefore become tedious and ineffective.

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

Data loss/corruption is a significant risk factor and a trail of evidence on this fact starts with HMRC's loss of 25 million personal records and more recently the government's inability to properly design a Track and Trace app.

One possible scenario I would envisage in the tourism sector might occur if one person in a group is unable to comply. So, either that person must be refused entry to a vehicle or attraction or the whole group is refused entry. In reality, it is unlikely that any small business would reject customers on this basis and consequently this would lead to an ineffective and corrupt system.

Further Comments

In my opinion, the Prime Minister Boris Johnson (PM) failed in the first instance to immediately implement sensible precautions such as closing borders, stopping international travel and large gatherings. Instead he closed the stable door after the horse had bolted. The NHS was completely unprepared with a lack of resources such as PPE, ventilators and contingency planning etc. The lives of front line workers and patients were exposed to a perceived high level of risk from an invisible unknown disease thereby causing psychological harm and many other problems. It is therefore abundantly clear to me that Government abandoned the strategy ([the Influenza Pandemic Preparedness Strategy 2011](#)) that had been in place for responding to a viral epidemic in favour of the unprecedented policy of national lockdown. This course of action is further evidence of gross incompetence and gross misconduct of Government.

Instead of acting in a reasonable manner the PM introduced fear and panic thereafter failing at every stage to show overwhelming evidence to support decisions & actions thereby destroying the economy and severely restricting people's lives, liberties and freedoms. The PM failed to act in a reasonable and responsible manner, failed to respond by ensuring appropriate medical practice and preventative treatments etc. Instead, the PM stifled debate, introduced dictatorial changes to our laws and censored, silenced and cancelled the most important expert opinions ignoring good medical practice and scientific studies. The PM has therefore failed to offer me, as an individual and as a business, the common courtesy of justifying his government's decisions. So, as far as I can see, the PM and Cabinet ministers have lied, deceived and misled the public and parliament, far beyond the accepted limits of normality. The cure is significantly worse than the disease and I believe Government is responsible for thousands of unnecessary premature deaths, serious harm to health and destruction of the economy. Ministers failed to acknowledge and respond to overwhelming Scientific and Medical concerns and relevant evidence. The PCR test has been utilised in a manner that has caused significant controversy. The high CT rate set by Government produced an extremely high rate of false positives and false statistics. The government has also made unsupported claims such as 70% increased transmissibility of new variants with ABSOLUTELY NO

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

EVIDENCE shown to support such claims. Altogether, I consider this to be the most arrogant abuse of public trust.

The delays in reopening society have caused the loss of another full year of business for most businesses in the tourism sector. The impact on many of us has been catastrophic but this is incomparable with the loss of life and disruption caused by the PM, Cabinet ministers and all Members of Parliament who supported their actions, failed to scrutinise and hold government to account.

Presently, the most alarming aspect of the government's policy is the uncontrolled rollout of EXPERIMENTAL vaccines into society with only voluntary reporting of adverse reactions with minimal investigation of deaths. Nevertheless there are now thousands of adverse reaction reports and many deaths immediately following vaccination and this has been trivialised and effectively ignored by Government and Main Stream Media who fail to acknowledge and report these facts. Meanwhile, at least 16 other countries suspended rollout of the Astra Zeneca vaccine program due to suspected blood clotting and deaths following the vaccines. Sadly, behind this smokescreen there are many other serious concerns with the vaccines. A basic analysis of [MHRA weekly yellow card reports](#) shows at least 725,079 adverse reactions and 1,047 deaths reported thus far in the UK alone but more than 12,963 deaths altogether in the UK, EU & US. These figures will likely be significantly higher due to the complex method of reporting and fact that most people including doctors are simply unaware of the reporting scheme, unable or unwilling to raise reports.

However, the evidence which shows that MHRA reports are very much understated may lie in statistical data which shows a **62% RISE** in total deaths in England and a **170% RISE** in Covid-19 labelled deaths in Care homes **SINCE THE INTRODUCTION OF VACCINATIONS.** Refer page 36 para 1 of HART's Covid-19 Response ^[1]. This correlation between vaccinations and a sharp rise in deaths is evident in many other countries around the world (such as a 200% rise in Israel, 59% rise in Portugal etc.)

The government should also be aware of concerns raised by Top Scientists and Medics collectively calling themselves Doctors4CovidEthics. This group of experts, regarded by many as the most respected Scientists in the world, have made their concerns known by issuing Open letters to the European Medical Agency (EMA) thereby highlighting serious potential risks from the vaccines. Their concerns have since escalated to the following;

Notices of Liability for COVID-19 Vaccine Harms and Deaths Served on All Members of the European Parliament

On April 20, 2021, ahead of the April 28 vote on EU vaccine passports (the 'Digital Green Certificate'), notices of liability for vaccine harms and deaths were served on all Members of the European Parliament. The full list of recipients can be viewed [here](#). ^[17]

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

The concerns raised by Doctors4CovidEthics appear to be largely ignored by the EMA and by the UK government despite a significant number of deaths and serious injuries now occurring. This failure to react, stop and investigate Covid-19 vaccine concerns is tantamount to a serious failure of government and a clear breach of public trust. I hope the committee considers this matter seriously because a [NOTICE OF LIABILITY](#) ^[17 & 18] may yet be issued to all MPs in the UK parliament who fail to act in accordance with their duties as elected representatives.

In my opinion these concerns go far beyond coincidence raising serious questions which are not being asked, investigated or reported by the Main Stream Media or by Government.

Clearly, the UK government has thrown caution to the wind and rides rough shod over all established laws and good professional practice in all aspects of Politics, Science and Medicine etc. This together with introduction of a proof of vaccine requirement by organisations such as British Airways is destroying the Tourism sector, the wider economy, society in general and the appearance of Justice & Democracy.

Finally, at time of writing I have become aware of further Notices of Liability and one in particular “[A Declaration and Notice of Liability](#)” issued by the World Freedom Alliance ^[18]. I hope the Committee considers this carefully together with all of the above.

Signed



Endnotes

1. Health Advisory & Recovery Team (HART) Covid-19 Response published March 2021 <https://www.hartgroup.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/HART-COVID-EVIDENCE-REVIEW.pdf>
2. UK Petition titled “Prevent any restrictions on those who refuse a Covid-19 vaccination” <https://petition.parliament.uk/petitions/323442>
3. UK Petition titled “Do not rollout Covid-19 vaccine passports” <https://petition.parliament.uk/petitions/569957>
4. WHO Confirms that the Covid-19 PCR Test is Flawed: By Prof Michel Chossudovsky <https://www.globalresearch.ca/nucleic-acid-testing-technologies-use-polymerase-chain-reaction-pcr-detection-sars-cov-2/5739959>
5. Roundtable Discussion on Public Health with the Governor of Florida <https://thefloridachannel.org/videos/3-18-21-roundtable-discussion-on-public-health/>
6. Johnson's suspension of parliament unlawful, supreme court rules <https://www.theguardian.com/law/2019/sep/24/boris-johnsons-suspension-of-parliament-unlawful-supreme-court-rules-prorogue>

COVID-19 Vaccine Certification - Call for Evidence

7. MP Robert Jenrick acted unlawfully over £1bn housing development
<https://www.nottinghampost.com/news/local-news/nottinghamshire-mp-robert-jenrick-acted-4169285>
8. Johnson and Hancock ‘acted unlawfully’ over Covid appointments, legal challenge claims
<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/boris-johnson-hancock-coronavirus-legal-challenge-dido-harding-b1759787.html>
9. Open Letter from Doctors and Scientists to the European Medicines Agency regarding COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Concerns
<https://doctors4covidethics.medium.com/urgent-open-letter-from-doctors-and-scientists-to-the-european-medicines-agency-regarding-covid-19-f6e17c311595>
10. The Great Barrington Declaration (with 819,765 signatures)
Refer www.gbdeclaration.org
11. World Doctors Alliance Open Letter (with 81,534 signatures)
Refer <https://worlddoctorsalliance.com>
12. Open letter from 32 academics urging Boris Johnson to think twice about plunging Britain into a second lockdown
<https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8756793/Leading-academics-write-open-letter-Boris-Johnson-warning-against-second-lockdown.html>
13. Statement published in the Lancet signed by 300 Scientists Reveal how Boris Johnson has Locked Britain into a Cycle of Draconian Lockdowns
<https://bylinetimes.com/2020/12/21/300-scientists-reveal-how-boris-johnson-has-locked-britain-into-a-cycle-of-draconian-lockdowns/>
14. Open letter to governments around the world titled “China’s Global Lockdown Propaganda Campaign” authored by Special Attorney Michael P Senger & Co.
<https://ccpgloballockdownfraud.medium.com/the-chinese-communist-partys-global-lockdown-fraud-88e1a7286c2b>
15. Guidance on criteria for assessment of freedom from infection in covid-19
<https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/publicerat-material/publikationsarkiv/v/vagledning-om-kriterier-for-bedomning-av-smittfrihet-vid-covid-19/>
16. Testimony of a German Attorney: Those responsible for “Corona Scandal” must be criminally prosecuted for crimes against humanity
<https://foreignaffairsintelligencecouncil.wordpress.com/2021/02/23/testimony-of-german-attorney-those-responsible-for-corona-scandal-must-be-criminally-prosecuted-for-crimes-against-humanity/>
17. Doctors4CovidEthics
<https://doctors4covidethics.medium.com/notices-of-liability-for-covid-19-vaccine-harms-and-deaths-served-on-all-members-of-the-european-a1f81f57216f>
18. World Freedom Alliance Open Letter to Governments around the World
A Declaration and Notice of Liability - https://worldfreedomalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/OFFICIAL_ALL_WFA_Appeal_fillable_14_days.pdf